

CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT


PrimusGFS Version 3.2

Operation Type: Processing
Audit Report Summary

CB Registration No. PA-PGFS-15455-2
PrimusGFS ID #321125 - Cert:5
Audited by Primus Auditing Operations

Ver en Español

| | |
|--|--|
| Organization: | Family Fresh Food Services, Inc. Contact(s): Sam Cimowsky Vicki Gilbert Address: 16 Forest Parkway Building H 30297 Location: Forest Park, Georgia, United States Phone Number: 404-366-7410 |
| Operation: | Family Fresh Food Service Contact(s): Sam Cimowsky Location: 16 Forest Pkwy, Bldg H Forest Park, Georgia 30297, United States |
| Operation Type: | Processing |
| Audit Type: | Announced Audit |
| Audit Executive Summary: | Review of a fruit/vegetable processing facility of approximately 35,000 sq ft (25,000 cooled) operating with approximately 50 workers and four lines (with various available operations) in one shift year-round for the operations. The products are stored at refrigerated temperatures until processing, and shipping. Product is received from suppliers, trimmed/prepped, chopped/sliced/shredded, rinsed with batch/recirculated PAA solution 60-80 ppm, dewatered, packaged, weighed/vacuum packed/heat sealed, boxed, metal-detected, palletized, and stored. Two separate production areas are used. High-care areas #1/2 includes most of the automated production operations; high-care #3/#4 is primarily manual peeling/cutting with some automation (apple and orange slicing). Processing of green cabbage into slaw mix, onion dicing, carrot and celery sticks were observed in HC1/2. Processing of honeydew melon chunks, broccoli florets, mango chunks, and orange slices were observed in HC3/4 during the audit. Organic products are not handled. No allergens observed/indicated. PAA levels in fresh cut flume/vats/wash tanks and metal detection are indicated as CCP's. |
| Date Documentation Review Started: | 17 Jan 2024 10:15 |
| Date Documentation Review Finished: | 17 Jan 2024 16:45 |
| Total Amount of Time on the Documentation Review: | 6.50 Hours |
| Date Visual Inspection Started: | 17 Jan 2024 07:45 |
| Date Visual Inspection Finished: | 17 Jan 2024 10:15 |
| Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: | 2.50 Hours |
| Addendum(s) included in the audit: | Not Applicable |
| Product(s) observed during audit: | Broccoli, Celery, Cabbages, Carrots, Onions, Honeydew, Mangoes, Oranges |
| Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: | Tomatoes, Bell Peppers, Cucumbers, Summer Squash, Kale, Cauliflower, Radishes, Apples, Asparagus, Bok Choy, Chard, Peas, Cranberries, Garlic, Grapefruit, Green Bean, Jicama, Lemons, Melons, Turnips, Napa Cabbage, Pineapples, Winter Squash, Watermelons, Collard Greens, Cantaloupe Melons, Citrus, Sweet Potatoes, Fruits & Vegetables (Fresh cut), Cilantro, Sweetcom, Kiwis, Snow Peas, Brussels Sprouts, Brassica Vegetables, Squashes, Zucchini, Turnips Tops, Beetroots, Rutabagas / Swedes, Potatoes, Tangerines, Jalapeno Pepper, Grapes, Poblano, Green Bell Pepper, Red Bell Pepper, Yellow Bell Pepper, Grape Tomatoes, Red Cabbages, Orange Bell Pepper, Watermelon Radish |
| Product(s) applied for but not observed: | Fruits |
| Auditor: | John McKinney (Primus Auditing Operations) |
| Preliminary Audit Score: | 98% |
| Final Audit Score: | 99% |
| Certificate Valid From: | 21 Feb 2024 To 20 Feb 2025 |

| | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| GPS Coordinates: | Latitude | Longitude |  Click here to see map |
| | 33° 37' 11" | 84° 23' 23" | |

View Certificate

Information related to the audited operation

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|---|
| Total number of workers for the operation: | 50 | What is the maximum number of workers during peak season? | 60 |
| Number of lines for the operation: | 4 | Number of lines being used during the audit: | 3 |
| Facility Size: | 35000 Square feet | Facility Environment Conditions: | Wet- Recycled Water Use with Product Contact |
| Are allergens present in the facility? | No | | |
| Is temperature control storage used? | Yes | Was an anti-microbial used in the water/ice? | Yes |
| Water Source: | Municipal/District | Antimicrobial Used: | Peroxyacetic acid |
| Is cooling equipment used? | Yes | Cooling Equipment: | Evaporator/Condensing Unit Other: chilled water |
| Are production areas completely enclosed? | Yes | Production Area: | |
| Are storage areas completely enclosed? | Yes | Storage Areas: | |

Product information for each product

| Product Group/Product Name | Seasonality |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Apples | Year round |
| Asparagus | Year round |
| Beetroots | Year round |
| Bell Peppers | Year round |
| Bok Choy | Year round |
| Brassica Vegetables | Year round |
| Broccoli | Year round |
| Brussels Sprouts | Year round |
| Cabbages | Year round |
| Napa Cabbage | Year round |
| Cantaloupe Melons | Year round |
| Carrots | Year round |

| | |
|--------------------|------------|
| Cauliflower | Year round |
| Celery | Year round |
| Chard | Year round |
| Citrus | Year round |
| Collard Greens | Year round |
| Cilantro | Year round |
| Cranberries | Year round |
| Cucumbers | Year round |
| Fruits | Year round |
| Garlic | Year round |
| Grape Tomatoes | Year round |
| Grapefruit | Year round |
| Grapes | Year round |
| Green Bean | Year round |
| Green Bell Pepper | Year round |
| Honeydew | Year round |
| Jalapeno Pepper | Year round |
| Jicama | Year round |
| Kale | Year round |
| Kiwis | Year round |
| Lemons | Year round |
| Mangoes | Year round |
| Melons | Year round |
| Onions | Year round |
| Orange Bell Pepper | Year round |
| Oranges | Year round |
| Peas | Year round |
| Pineapples | Year round |
| Poblano | Year round |
| Potatoes | Year round |
| Radishes | Year round |
| Red Bell Pepper | Year round |
| Red Cabbages | Year round |
| Rutabagas / Swedes | Year round |

| | |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| Snow Peas | Year round |
| Summer Squash | Year round |
| Squashes | Year round |
| Sweetcorn | Year round |
| Sweet Potatoes | Year round |
| Tangerines | Year round |
| Tomatoes | Year round |
| Turnips Tops | Year round |
| Turnips | Year round |
| Fruits & Vegetables (Fresh cut) | Year round |
| Watermelon Radish | Year round |
| Watermelons | Year round |
| Winter Squash | Year round |
| Yellow Bell Pepper | Year round |
| Zucchini | Year round |

| AUDIT SCORING SUMMARY | Pre-Corrective Action Review | | Post-Corrective Action Review | |
|--|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Food Safety Management System Requirements | Score: | 253 | Score: | 253 |
| | Possible Points: | 253 | Possible Points: | 253 |
| | Percent Score: | 100 | Percent Score: | 100 |
| Module 5 - Good Manufacturing Practices Requirements | Score: | 1221 | Score: | 1239 |
| | Possible Points: | 1239 | Possible Points: | 1239 |
| | Percent Score: | 98 | Percent Score: | 100 |
| Module 6 - HACCP System Requirements | Score: | 240 | Score: | 245 |
| | Possible Points: | 250 | Possible Points: | 250 |
| | Percent Score: | 96 | Percent Score: | 98 |
| TOTAL | Score: | 1714 | Score: | 1737 |
| | Possible Points: | 1742 | Possible Points: | 1742 |
| | Percent Score: | 98 | Percent Score: | 99 |

| Non-Conformance Summary By Count | Pre-Corrective Action Non-Conformances | Post-Corrective Action Non-Conformances |
|--|--|---|
| Food Safety Management System Requirements | 0 | 0 |
| Module 5 - Good Manufacturing Practices Requirements | 5 | 0 |
| Module 6 - HACCP System Requirements | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 1 |

| GMP | Equipment | Closed |
|---------|---|---|
| 5.06.02 | <p>Question: Are non-food contact equipment surfaces free of flaking paint, corrosion, rust and other unhygienic materials (e.g., tape, string, cardboard, etc.)?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Minor. Corrosion was observed on a metal box (no longer in use) at the door near trap #28. No other issues were observed with non-food contact equipment surfaces.</p> | <p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 7 Score: Minor Deficiency</p> |
| | <p><i>Auditee Comments:</i> Metal box was no longer in use, so it was removed from the facility. See before and after pictures. View Files</p> | |
| | <p><i>CB/Auditor Review Comments:</i> TC.</p> | <p>Accept CA? Yes</p> <p>Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance</p> |

| GMP | Equipment Cleaning | Closed |
|---------|--|---|
| 5.07.10 | <p>Question: Are excess lubricants and grease removed from the equipment and are lubricant catch pans fitted where needed?</p> <p>Auditor Comments: Minor. Lack of drip protection observed on gearmotors over food contact surfaces on the cabbage shredding in-fed belt and Yamato Scale #1. No other issues were observed with excess lubricant or drip protection.</p> | <p>Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 3 Score: Minor Deficiency</p> |
| | <p><i>Auditee Comments:</i> Manufacturer of the equipment was contacted to design and build drip pans for both the bearing side and gearbox side for the In-Feed Belt, Yamato Scale #1, and Yamato Scale #2. FFF maintenance to install pans upon completion. A workorder was written, to be completed upon completion of the project. Order acknowledgement from TSD was also received. View Files</p> | |
| | <p><i>CB/Auditor Review Comments:</i> TC based on plan.</p> | <p>Accept CA? Yes</p> <p>Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 New Score: Total Compliance</p> |

| GMP | Buildings and Grounds | Closed |
|-----|-----------------------|--------|
|-----|-----------------------|--------|

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------|--|------------|--|
| 5.09.05 | Question: Is ventilation adequate to control dust, condensation, odors and vapors? | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 7 Score: Minor Deficiency | | | | |
| | Auditor Comments: Minor. Instance of condensation observed on cooling unit over the worker entrance to High-Care #3. No other ventilation issues were observed. | | | | | |
| <p><i>Auditee Comments:No product or food contact equipment is stored underneath the units. Unit was found in good working order, but drain line was blocked due to ice build-up from freezing temperatures outside. Drain line was thawed to allow proper drainage. After drain lines were cleared, condensation under the cooling unit was eliminated. To prevent future freezing of the line, drainage lines were wrapped with insulation. Employees were retrained on the importance of contacting supervisors if condensation is identified.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">View Files</p> | | | | | | |
| CB/Auditor Review Comments: TC. | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Accept CA?</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td> Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance </td> </tr> </table> | Accept CA? | | Yes | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance |
| Accept CA? | | | | | | |
| Yes | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance | | | | | |

| | | |
|------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| GMP | Buildings and Grounds | Closed |
|------------|------------------------------|---------------|

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------|--|------------|--|
| 5.09.06 | Question: Are floor surfaces in good condition, with no standing water, no debris trapping cracks and are they easy to clean? | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 7 Score: Minor Deficiency | | | | |
| | Auditor Comments: Minor. Areas of exposed aggregate were observed in dock area/storage room #6 floors. The concrete floor in the production and storage areas was smooth and in good condition, with no issues observed. | | | | | |
| <p><i>Auditee Comments:Tufco, who performed resurfacing of many of FFF's coolers, was contacted about resurfacing the floor in Room #6. A quotation was completed on 2-7-24. Project is scheduled for July 2024 due to Tufco scheduling restrictions. A workorder was written, to be completed upon completion of the project. A risk analysis was performed to determine the risks associated with utilizing the cooler before the floor is resurfaced. It was determined that the risk was low, and the storage space could still be used until the resurfacing was completed.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">View Files</p> | | | | | | |
| CB/Auditor Review Comments: TC. | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Accept CA?</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td> Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance </td> </tr> </table> | Accept CA? | | Yes | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance |
| Accept CA? | | | | | | |
| Yes | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance | | | | | |

| | | |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| GMP | Chemical Files | Closed |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------|

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------|--|------------|--|
| 5.11.03 | Question: Are there specific Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for the monitoring of anti-microbial parameters in single pass and/or recirculated/batch water systems, changing of recirculated/batch water systems (e.g., dump tanks, flumes, hydro vacuums, hydro coolers, etc.) and for monitoring pH and water temperature (if applicable)? | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 3 Score: Major Deficiency | | | | |
| | Auditor Comments: Major. Lack of justification/support for the antimicrobial range used in batch/circulated water systems. This is defined in Chemical Monitoring SOP and Flume, Wash Tank, Batch Wash, and Vat Water Changing and PAA Testing SOP. A use range of 60-80 ppm ppm in tanks. Levels are checked every 30 minutes in processing. Tanks are emptied at least daily. | | | | | |
| <p><i>Auditee Comments:Guidance was found to justify the use of 60 – 80 ppm peroxyacetic acid (PAA) use as a CCP. CFR 173.315 and guidance by the Clemson Cooperative Extension note an upper allowance of 80 ppm PAA use for washing fruits and vegetables. Guidance from the University of Tennessee notes that a wide range of 25 – 85 ppm for PAA can be used. Cornell Cooperative Extension recommends use of a 60 ppm PAA solution be used. Considering these resources, operational limits for FFF are set at 60 – 80 ppm PAA.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">View Files</p> | | | | | | |
| CB/Auditor Review Comments: TC. | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Accept CA?</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td> Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance </td> </tr> </table> | Accept CA? | | Yes | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance |
| Accept CA? | | | | | | |
| Yes | Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Total Compliance | | | | | |

| | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| HACCP | Development of the HACCP Plan | Closed |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|

| 6.02.05 | <p>Question: Have CCP critical control limits been established and are they supported by relevant validation documentation?</p> | <p>Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 5 Score: Major Deficiency</p> | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|------------|--|---|------------|
| | <p>Auditor Comments: Major. Lack of justification/support for the antimicrobial range used. A use range of 60-80 ppm PAA set for flumes/tanks every 30 minutes in processing, and hourly in packing. Tanks are emptied at least daily. Metal detection limits of 2.0 mm ferrous, 2.5 mm nonferrous, and 3.5 mm stainless steel.</p> | | | | | | |
| | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>Auditee Comments: Guidance was found to justify the use of 60 – 80 ppm peroxyacetic acid (PAA) use as a CCP. CFR 173.315 and guidance by the Clemson Cooperative Extension note an upper allowance of 80 ppm PAA use for washing fruits and vegetables. Guidance from the University of Tennessee notes that a wide range of 25 – 85 ppm for PAA can be used. Cornell Cooperative Extension recommends use of a 60 ppm PAA solution be used. Considering these resources, operational limits for FFF are set at 60 – 80 ppm PAA.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom: 0;">View Files</div> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 60%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Accept CA?</th> <th style="width: 30%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>CB/Auditor Review Comments: Minor. Response only considers operating range rather than addressing critical range for the process.</p> </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">Yes</td> <td> <p>Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Minor Deficiency</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div> | | | Accept CA? | | <p>CB/Auditor Review Comments: Minor. Response only considers operating range rather than addressing critical range for the process.</p> | Yes |
| | Accept CA? | | | | | | |
| <p>CB/Auditor Review Comments: Minor. Response only considers operating range rather than addressing critical range for the process.</p> | Yes | <p>Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 10 New Score: Minor Deficiency</p> | | | | | |